

		Ref:
	Local Plan Publication Stage Representation Form	(For official us only)

Name of the Local Plan to which this representation relates:

South Staffordshire Council Local Plan 2018 - 2039

Please return to South Staffordshire Council BY 12 noon Friday 23 December 2022

This form has two parts -

Part A – Personal Details: need only be completed once.

Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make.

Part A

1 5 1		
1. Personal		Agent's Details (if
Details*		applicable)
*If an agent is appointed,	please complete only the Title, Name and Or	ganisation (if applicable)
	the full contact details of the agent in 2.	5
Title		
Hele		
First Name		
Last Name		
Job Title		
(where relevant)		
Organisation	Amadis Holdings Ltd	
(where relevant)		
• •	C/a DN Williama and Ca	
Address Line 1	C/o RN Williams and Co	
Line 2	53 Waterloo Road	
Line 2	55 Wateriee Roda	
Line 3	Wolverhampton	
	•	
Line 4		
Post Code	Wv14QQ	
1030 0000	WVI+QQ	
Telephone	01902 429051	
E-mail Address	rnw@rnwilliams.com	

se



Part B – **Please use a separate sheet for each representation**

Name or Organisation:

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph	5.17	Policy	Policies Ma	ар				
4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :								
(1) Legally	compliant	Yes	\checkmark	No				
(2) Sound		Yes		No	\checkmark			
(3) Complie Duty to co		Yes	✓	No				

Please tick as appropriate

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

We are concerned that the suggested housing requirement included in the Plan, of 9,089 dwellings, could be insufficient. There is significant evidence to suggest that this housing target should be treated as an absolute minimum and that the actual housing need could exceed this figure. It will therefore need to be tested at the Examination.

There are two components to the housing requirement identified. The first is the quantum of housing required to meet South Staffordshire's growth requirements and the second is the additional housing that is required to contribute towards meeting the unmet needs arising from Birmingham and the Black Country. We comment on these matters below.

South Staffordshire Housing Requirement (excluding unmet need from the conurbation)

Paragraph 61 of the Framework says that in order to determine the minimum number of homes needed, strategic policies should be informed by a Local Housing Needs Assessment, conducted using the Standard Method in the National Planning Practice Guide. The Standard Method is therefore the starting point for establishing the scale of the housing requirement to meet South Staffordshire's housing needs. While not mandatory, the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) "expects strategic policymaking authorities to follow the standard method...for assessing local housing need". According to government planning guidance, any other method for calculating housing need can only be used in "exceptional circumstances".



Paragraph 5.9 of the draft plan suggests that the local housing need is 241 dwellings per annum, for the periods 2022 to 2039.

The South Staffordshire Housing Market Assessment May 2021 ("**SSHMA**") had been prepared to inform the Plan's overall housing requirement. It concludes that using the Standard Method "across the 20 year plan period it is therefore intended that 5,068 new homes will be delivered to address the housing need in South Staffordshire" (paragraph 4.16). Over the extended plan period of 21 years this would increase the housing requirement on a pro rata basis to 5,322 dwellings. However, this guidance is not reflected in the pre-submission Plan. It is suggested that the housing requirements over the 21 year plan period is 5,089 dwellings (Table 7 – 4,097 dwellings plus 992 dwellings). The plan is not based upon a Standard Method housing requirement.

One of the key justifications why the Plan includes a South Staffordshire housing requirement which is below the Standard Method requirement is that completions from 2018 to the start of the plan (2022) are used as a benchmark for housing need. There have been 992 completions during this period. There is however no evidence to suggest that those 992 completions were sufficient to meet the housing requirements of the district during that four-year period.

Residential developments in South Staffordshire have been restricted to windfall schemes within settlement boundaries because of the restrictive housing policies that are in place, most notably Green Belt which extends to over 80 percent of the local authority area.

Our related concern is whether the housing target will meet the District's actual affordable housing needs. Following PPG advice, Paragraph 8.5 of the SSHMA recognises that it is necessary for local authorities to calculate their total affordable housing need. The total affordable housing need can then be considered as a proportion of the overall housing requirement, taking into account the probable percentage of affordable housing that can be delivered through eligible market housing developments. An increase to the overall housing figure included in the plan may be appropriate where it would help to deliver the required number of affordable homes (PPG - ID: 2a-024-20190220).

Paragraph 8.7 of the SSHMA advises that the total affordable housing needs in South Staffordshire is 128dpa. It explains that this represents 28.2% of the annual highest housing requirement of 453 dwellings per annum. That being the case, the SSHMA proposes 30% of housing provision.

The South Staffordshire Standard Method housing requirement is 254dpa according to the SSHMA. As a consequence, an affordable housing requirement of 128dpa is approximately **50%** of South Staffordshire's minimum Standard Method housing requirement. The figure in paragraph 8.7 of the SSHMA is however misleading because the total housing requirement proposed by the Plan is used (9,068 dwellings). However, this figure includes the 4,000 dwellings to deliver to meet the growth requirements of the conurbation. Those 4,000 dwellings should be considered as a source of affordable housing supply to support the affordable housing delivery needs of South Staffordshire. Any affordable housing provided as part of the delivery of these 4,000 units will be to support the affordable housing needs arising from the conurbation, not South Staffordshire.

In short, if 30% of the 4,000 dwellings proposed to meet the growth requirements of the conurbation were actually delivered as affordable units to meet the growth requirements of South Staffordshire, this would reduce the Plan's contribution to



meeting the growth requirements of the conurbation to 2,800 units. This is significantly below the 4,000 unit figure suggested by the Plan.

It is, therefore, clear that an uplift is required to the South Staffordshire housing requirement in order to ensure that 128 affordable dwellings per year are delivered. The plan should include a housing requirement for South Staffordshire and state what proportion of the housing requirement should be affordable. Separately, it should include a housing requirement for meeting the unmet needs of the conurbation and state what proportion of that requirement should be affordable.

The Plan sets out its intentions to support economic growth, retaining and creating jobs within the district. It is advised in Table 2 that there continues to be development opportunities at strategic employment sites, including I54, Featherstone and the West Midlands Interchange leading to greater investment and prosperity. Paragraph 2.13 confirms that South Staffordshire has attracted internationally significant businesses such as Jaguar Land Rover. The Plan continues to seek to support economic growth which should be applauded.

However, it is also recognises in Table 2 that there are "demographic imbalances" across the District with a higher than average proportion of the residents aged 65 and over, and a projected decline of families and working age residents. Given this decline of working age residents; if the Council wishes to maintain and increase employment opportunities, there are two options available through the plan making system. Option 1 is to accept an increase in the number of people commuting to South Staffordshire to work from the wider area. However, this will result in increased road usage and unsustainable commuting patterns. Option 2 is the better alternative which is to provide the number of new homes within its administrative area which will allow the job pool to reside closer to their place of work. There is no evidence that this balance between the number of new homes and their geographical relationship to the sources of employment has been properly assessed through the preparation of the Plan.

Drawing this together, the Plan fails to address three matters in establishing the South Staffordshire element of the housing requirement:

- The housing requirement proposed in the consultation draft Plan is below the Standard Method figure derived from the Council's own SSHMA.
- The SSHMA fails to correctly factor in affordable housing need. The draft plans seeks to rely upon affordable housing delivery from the 4,000 dwellings proposed to meet the growth requirements of the conurbation to support the South Staffordshire affordable housing need. This is inappropriate given that these 4,000 dwellings are proposed to meet the growth requirements of the conurbation which has its own affordable housing needs.
- No consideration has been given to increasing the minimum Standard Method housing figure to take into account the local authority's economic growth aspirations and the fact that the age profile of South Staffordshire is increasing resulting in a decrease in the 'pool' of working age demographic.

Additional housing to contribute towards meeting the unmet needs of the Housing Market Area

In order to support the suggestion that the Plan should support the housing needs of the conurbation through delivery of 4,000 houses, it relies upon the conclusions of the GBSSHMA Strategic Growth Study 2018 and its subsequent updates. Paragraph 5.16



of the Plan says that the evidence base within the Growth Study has not been updated to recommend alternative or additional strategic locations for housing growth. There is, however, evidence to support the fact that the Strategic Growth Study is out of date.

The Framework advises at paragraph 61 that the Standard Method should be used as a starting point for establishing the housing requirement. The Strategic Growth Study does not establish housing need using the Standard Method. It concludes that during the period 2011 to 2031 a minimum of 205,000 homes are required, which broadly reflect the 2014 based sub-national population scenario of the study area. The basis for establishing the housing requirement in the Strategic Growth Study is not based upon any recognised methodology, it is not robust and it is not suitable for plan making purposes.

The Strategic Growth Study covers the period 2011 to 2031. The emerging South Staffordshire Plan covers the period to 2039. The shortfall figures in the Strategic Growth Study are, therefore, only relevant for the next 9 years. Even if the housing figure in the Strategic Growth Plan was robust, it provides no evidence on housing need for the later part of the Plan period.

Conversely, the Birmingham Development Plan ("**BDP**") provides more up to date evidence on the potential housing shortfall arising from the conurbation; that evidence is based upon the Standard Method and updated housing capacity figures.

The BDP was adopted in January 2017. Policy PG1 – Overall Levels of Growth, advised that 89,000 additional dwellings are required during the course of the plan period (2011 to 2031) to meet the growth requirements of the city. However, only 51,100 additional dwellings can be accommodated within the city's administrative area. This leaves a shortfall of 37,900 homes (including 14,400 affordable homes) that will need to be delivered elsewhere within the SSHMA. This figure has been tested though the Local Plan examination process, unlike the Strategic Growth Study figure.

Since the BDP was adopted, Birmingham City Council have suggested that the extent of the housing shortfall has reduced. This is because additional urban capacity has been found through the Council's SHLAA updates. There is, however, alternative evidence that the housing shortfall in Birmingham has actually increased significantly.

Birmingham City Council has produced an Issues and Options consultation draft version of its emerging replacement Local Plan. It advises that the Standard Method housing requirement for the period 2020 to 2042 is 149,286 dwellings. As this is the minimum Standard Method figure this level of need should be afforded significant weight in understanding the extent of the housing shortfall as it has been established using the guidance in the Framework and PPG.

The Issues and Options consultation document suggests that the total capacity for development within the built-up area boundary of the city is 70,871 dwellings. This relies upon all SHLAA sites coming forward for development and the provision of a significant number of windfalls (the windfall assumption is 11,675 meaning that windfall development in Birmingham City will be greater than the total housing requirement currently proposed for South Staffordshire). This would result in a housing shortfall of 78,415 dwellings.

Until recently, the Black Country authorities were in the process of preparing a joint plan. This has now been abandoned in favour of the preparation of the individual plans. However, as the emerging Black Country Plan had a housing requirement



based upon the Standard Method the housing requirement is a significant consideration in identifying the housing shortfall arising from the conurbation. Whilst the four Black Country authorities are now preparing their own Local Plans they should also be using the Standard Method and therefore the combined housing requirement should be the same as that as if a single plan was being prepared.

The Black Country Plan Preferred Options consultation document was subject to consultation between August and October 2021; it identified a housing requirement of 76,076 dwellings. It was, however, concluded that only 47,837 dwellings could be accommodated within the Black Country administrative area. As a consequence, 28,239 dwellings need to be delivered elsewhere within the SSHMA.

If the housing shortfall figures identified in policy PG1 of the BDP and the emerging Black Country Plan Preferred Options document are added together, **there is a total housing shortfall of 66,139 dwellings.** This should be considered as an absolute minimum, given that the emerging Birmingham City housing shortfall is significantly greater than this combined figure. The 4,000 dwellings proposed by South Staffordshire Local Plan to meet the growth requirements of the conurbation is approximately 6% of the total shortfall.

There are 14 authority areas within the Birmingham and Black Country HMA. This includes Birmingham and the 4 Black Country authorities. This means that the shortfall will need to be distributed between the remaining 9 authorities.

Redditch Borough is effectively built up to its boundary. The adopted Redditch Local Plan relies upon Bromsgrove District to delivering approximately half of its housing requirement through urban extensions to support its growth. A small part of Stratford-upon-Avon District falls within the SSHMA, reducing development opportunities in Stratford-upon-Avon. Cannock Chase's capacity is restricted due to environmental constraints including the Cannock Chase SAC and AONB. However, even if the full extent of the current shortfall is distributed evenly amongst the now remaining 9 authorities, each authority should be providing approximately 7,370 dwellings. South Staffordshire, given its functional relationship to the Black Country, should be accommodating a significantly greater amount of development than this in order to support the growth requirements of the conurbation.

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

6. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

We have concerns about the adequacies of the housing target which is set by the Plan. For the reasons which we have explained in these representations, it may be necessary for the Council to allocate additional land for residential development ad safeguard land for future development.

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)



Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?



No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)



Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

8. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

To discuss the points which we have made in these representations.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

Representations cannot be kept confidential and will be available for public scrutiny, including your name and/or organisation (if applicable). However, your contact details will not be published.

Data Protection

Your details will be added to our Local Plans Consultation database so that we can contact you as the review progresses. South Staffordshire Council will process your personal data in accordance with the Data Protection Act 2018 and the General Data Protection Regulations (GDPR). Our Privacy Notice can be viewed at <u>https://www.sstaffs.gov.uk/planning/strategic-planning--data-protection.cfm</u>

Please return the form via email to <u>localplans@sstaffs.gov.uk</u> or by post to South Staffordshire Council, Community Hub, Wolverhampton Road, Codsall, South Staffordshire WV8 1PX