



Local Plan

Publication Stage Representation Form

Ref:

(For official use only)

Name of the Local Plan to which this representation relates:

South Staffordshire Council Local Plan 2023 - 2041

Please return to South Staffordshire Council by 12 noon Friday 31 May 2024

This form has two parts -

Part A – Personal Details: need only be completed once.

Part B – Your representation(s). Please fill in a separate sheet for each

representation you wish to make.

Part A

1. Personal Details*			2. Agent's Details (if applicable)
*If an agent is appointed	d, please complete only the Title, Nam te the full contact details of the agent		
Title			Miss
First Name			Jessica
Last Name			Graham
Job Title (where relevant)			Associate Director
Organisation (where relevant)	Taylor Wimpey UK Limited		Savills (UK) Limited
Address Line 1			55 Colmore Row
Line 2			Birmingham
Line 3			
Line 4]	
Post Code]	B3 2AA
Telephone Number			0121 634 8494
E-mail Address			jgraham@savills.com



Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation:								
3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?								
Paragraph	Policy DS4	Policies N	Лар					
4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :								
(1) Legally compliant	Yes		No					
(2) Sound	Yes		No					
(3) Complies with the	V		NI-	X				
Duty to co-operate	Yes		No					

Please tick as appropriate

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

We **object** to Draft Policy DS5.

Spatial Strategy for Housing

Draft Policy DS5 states that "an integral part of the Strategy will be to ensure that growth is distributed to the district's most sustainable locations, avoiding a disproportionate level of growth in the district's less sustainable settlements, whilst also recognising that very limited growth in less sustainable areas may be appropriate in limited circumstances" [Savills emphasis]. Paragraph 5.13 of the draft plan states that as a result of the changes to the National Planning Policy Framework ('NPPF') (discussed further in our separate response to Draft Policy DS4), South Staffordshire District Council ('SSDC') has tested further spatial strategy options for the distribution of housing growth across the district. The chosen strategy is Option I which is "a capacity-led approach focusing growth to sustainable non-Green Belt sites and limited Green Belt development in Tier 1 settlements well served by public transport".

Option I is a new growth option which was not previously consulted on or identified as a preferred option in the 2022 Publication Plan. The 2022 plan proposed a strategy based on Option G which is described in paragraph 5.4.16 of the Sustainability Appraisal (2024) as "Infrastructure-led development with a garden village area of search beyond the Plan period". The Planning Practice Guidance requires a Sustainability Appraisal to "provide conclusions on the reasons the rejected options are not being taken forward and the reasons for selecting the preferred approach in light of alternatives" (Reference ID: 11-018-20140306). We do not consider that sufficient justification has been provided for not pursuing Option G (or a hybrid of Option G and I) when it was previously assessed by SSDC in 2022 as being the most suitable option (Sustainability Appraisal 2022). From our review, it appears that Option I has been 'created' by the Council in order to achieve their interpretation of the amended NPPF 2023 and



support the reduction in housing to meet local and wider housing market area needs, which we have objected to in our separate response to Draft Policy DS4.

Table 5.7 of the Sustainability Appraisal (2024) compares all of the spatial options assessed. Despite Options G and I scoring the same overall, SSDC have now decided to pursue Option I as it proposes a significantly lower quantum of growth. The Sustainability Appraisal (2024) does not state why Option G is no longer the preferred spatial strategy and the only justification provided to support Option I is that it delivers less housing growth. The NPPF requires plans to be prepared positively (paragraph 16) supported by evidence (paragraph 31). SSDC has produced evidence in order to support their unjustified desire to deliver less housing in the 2024 plan, rather than the evidence informing the strategy and approach to growth as they did for the 2022 plan. The approach to the spatial strategy is not justified and is therefore unsound (NPPF paragraph 35b).

The Sustainability Appraisal and Spatial Option I fails to consider that there are settlements outside of the SSDC, for example the edge of the Black Country, which are far more sustainable than the Tier 1 settlements assessed. Land North of Linthouse Lane (site reference 486c or 2022 draft Policy SA3) is immediately adjacent to the urban area of Wolverhampton and is well related to existing development and facilities, with good public transport connections. The Land North of Linthouse Lane is in a highly sustainable location, well-related to the Black Country urban area, and therefore should be considered an appropriate location for development in line with Draft Policy DS5 which seeks to ensure "growth is distributed to the district's most sustainable locations".

Capacity-led approach

As discussed in our response to Draft Policy DS4, the housing requirement of the draft plan has been reduced from the 2022 plan and the number of homes proposed to contribute towards the needs of the Greater Birmingham and Black Country Housing Market Area ('GBBCHMA') has gone from 4,000 homes to 640 homes which we consider is unjustified.

Paragraph 2.7 of the Spatial Housing Strategy Topic Paper (2024) states that a 'capacity-led' approach has been taken to the spatial strategy and "the overall level of growth is determined by the capacity of the suitable sites that align with the strategic approaches outlined". This is in reference to Spatial Options H and I which have been added to the draft plan and were not previously considered or consulted on in 2022.

SSDC claim that the chosen Spatial Strategy (Option I) directs growth towards sustainable non-Green Belt development sites and limited Green Belt development in Tier 1 settlements that are well-served by public transport. The 640 dwellings towards the HMA shortfall seems to have been calculated by the number of dwellings SSDC considers are deliverable on sites around Tier 1 settlements but the Council has not provided justification on the reasonable alternatives assessed around these settlements and why the proposed capacity is limited to 640 dwellings. There are dismissed sites which perform comparatively to the sites proposed to be allocated Tier 1 settlements which have not been allocated for residential development. For example, site reference 222 (Land north of Sandy Lane) which is adjacent to the north of Codsall, a Tier 1 settlement. This site is in the Green Belt and assessed as having moderate-high harm to the Green Belt but performs equally as well when compared against site reference 224 (Land adjacent to Station Road), which is proposed to be allocated (Policy SA3), in Table H.4.1. of the Sustainability Appraisal.

Furthermore there are sites allocated for residential development which are in the Green Belt and not in Tier 1 settlements including site reference number 617 (Land off Four Ashes Road) which is allocated in Brewood a Tier 2 settlement, and 082 (Land between A449 Stafford Road and School Lane) in Coven a Tier 3 settlement.

There is therefore an inconsistency with how the Spatial Strategy Option I has been applied to Green Belt sites, and a lack of justification of how the figure of a 640 home contribution to the unmet needs of the GBBCHMA has been derived. The plan is therefore not justified (NPPF 35).



6. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The Spatial Strategy should be amended to reflect a strategy which is positively prepared and fully justified. The Council needs to provide evidence to demonstrate that the proposed strategy is truly 'capacity-led' despite other sites being assessed as performing similarly to those allocated.

Land North of Linthouse Lane (site reference 486c or 2022 draft Policy SA3) should be reallocated in order to deliver residential development in a highly sustainable location adjacent to the neighbouring Black County urban area.

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

Please note: In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?



Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

8. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

To provide oral evidence and engage in the Examination discussions on this matter.



Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

Representations cannot be kept confidential and will be available for public scrutiny, including your name and/or organisation (if applicable). However, your contact details will not be published.

Data Protection

Your details will be added to our Local Plans Consultation database so that we can contact you as the review progresses. South Staffordshire Council will process your personal data in accordance with the Data Protection Act 2018 and the General Data Protection Regulations (GDPR). Our Privacy Notice can be viewed at Data Protection (Strategic Planning) | South Staffordshire District Council (sstaffs.gov.uk)

Please return the form via email to localplans@sstaffs.gov.uk or by post to South Staffordshire Council, Community Hub, Wolverhampton Road, Codsall, South Staffordshire WV8 1PX